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**Module 2 Task 1 Dyspraxia - Identification of need, external barriers and the inclusivity of strategies**

Consider what the tell-tale signs for identifying these needs in your setting and what the external barriers to learning and participation might be for pupils with Dyspraxia.

Think about teaching style, grouping of children, differentiation, style and locations of interventions, staff attitudes, skills, expectations

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Dyspraxia | Consider what the tell-tale signs for identifying these needs in your setting are  What the external barriers to learning and participation might be for pupils with these needs. |

Select one strategy for supporting a pupil with Dyspraxia and reflect on ways in which this strategy could be argued to be inclusive and ways in which this strategy could be argued to be not inclusive. Remember to refer to literature read in Module 1

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Area of Need | Strategy | Ways in which this strategy could be argued to be inclusive | Ways in which this strategy could be argued to be not inclusive |
| Dyspraxia |  |  |  |

**Module 2 Task 2 Dyscalculia - Identification of need, external barriers and the inclusivity of strategies**

Consider what the tell-tale signs for identifying these needs in your setting and what the external barriers to learning and participation might be for pupils with Dyscalculia.

Think about teaching style, grouping of children, differentiation, style and locations of interventions, staff attitudes, skills, expectations

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Dyscalculia | Consider what the tell-tale signs for identifying these needs in your setting are  What the external barriers to learning and participation might be for pupils with these needs. |

Select one strategy for supporting a pupil with Dyscalculia and reflect on ways in which this strategy could be argued to be inclusive and ways in which this strategy could be argued to be not inclusive. Remember to refer to literature read in Modules 1 and 2.

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Area of Need | Strategy | Ways in which this strategy could be argued to be inclusive | Ways in which this strategy could be argued to be not inclusive |
| Dyscalculia |  |  |  |

**Module 2 Task 3 Dyslexia - Identification of need, external barriers and the inclusivity of strategies**

Consider what the tell-tale signs for identifying these needs in your setting and what the external barriers to learning and participation might be for pupils with Dyslexia.

Think about teaching style, grouping of children, differentiation, style and locations of interventions, staff attitudes, skills, expectations

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Dyslexia | Consider what the tell-tale signs for identifying these needs in your setting are  What the external barriers to learning and participation might be for pupils with these needs. |

Select one strategy for supporting a pupil with Dyslexia and reflect on ways in which this strategy could be argued to be inclusive and ways in which this strategy could be argued to be not inclusive. Remember to refer to literature read in Modules 1 and 2.

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Area of Need | Strategy | Ways in which this strategy could be argued to be inclusive | Ways in which this strategy could be argued to be not inclusive |
| Dyslexia |  |  |  |

**Module 2 Task 4 SLCN - Identification of need, external barriers and the inclusivity of strategies**

Consider what the tell-tale signs for identifying these needs in your setting and what the external barriers to learning and participation might be for pupils with SLCN.

Think about teaching style, grouping of children, differentiation, style and locations of interventions, staff attitudes, skills, expectations

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| SLCN | Consider what the tell-tale signs for identifying these needs in your setting are  What the external barriers to learning and participation might be for pupils with these needs. |

Select one strategy for supporting a pupil with SLCN and reflect on ways in which this strategy could be argued to be inclusive and ways in which this strategy could be argued to be not inclusive. Remember to refer to literature read in Modules 1 and 2.

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Area of Need | Strategy | Ways in which this strategy could be argued to be inclusive | Ways in which this strategy could be argued to be not inclusive |
| SLCN |  |  |  |

**Module 2 Task 5 ADHD - Identification of need, external barriers and the inclusivity of strategies**

Consider what the tell-tale signs for identifying these needs in your setting and what the external barriers to learning and participation might be for pupils with ADHD.

Think about teaching style, grouping of children, differentiation, style and locations of interventions, staff attitudes, skills, expectations

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| ADHD | Consider what the tell-tale signs for identifying these needs in your setting are  What the external barriers to learning and participation might be for pupils with these needs. |

Select one strategy for supporting a pupil with ADHD and reflect on ways in which this strategy could be argued to be inclusive and ways in which this strategy could be argued to be not inclusive. Remember to refer to literature read in Modules 1 and 2.

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Area of Need | Strategy | Ways in which this strategy could be argued to be inclusive | Ways in which this strategy could be argued to be not inclusive |
| ADHD |  |  |  |

**Module 2 Task 6 ASD - Identification of need, external barriers and the inclusivity of strategies**

Consider what the tell-tale signs for identifying these needs in your setting and what the external barriers to learning and participation might be for pupils with ASD.

Think about teaching style, grouping of children, differentiation, style and locations of interventions, staff attitudes, skills, expectations

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| ASD | Consider what the tell-tale signs for identifying these needs in your setting are  What the external barriers to learning and participation might be for pupils with these needs. |

Select one strategy for supporting a pupil with ASD and reflect on ways in which this strategy could be argued to be inclusive and ways in which this strategy could be argued to be not inclusive. Remember to refer to literature read in Modules 1 and 2.

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Area of Need | Strategy | Ways in which this strategy could be argued to be inclusive | Ways in which this strategy could be argued to be not inclusive |
| ASD |  |  |  |

**Module 2 Task 7 SEMH - Identification of need, external barriers and the inclusivity of strategies**

Consider what the tell-tale signs for identifying these needs in your setting and what the external barriers to learning and participation might be for pupils with SEMH.

Think about teaching style, grouping of children, differentiation, style and locations of interventions, staff attitudes, skills, expectations

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| SEMH | Consider what the tell-tale signs for identifying these needs in your setting are  What the external barriers to learning and participation might be for pupils with these needs. |

Select one strategy for supporting a pupil with SEMH and reflect on ways in which this strategy could be argued to be inclusive and ways in which this strategy could be argued to be not inclusive. Remember to refer to literature read in Modules 1 and 2.

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Area of Need | Strategy | Ways in which this strategy could be argued to be inclusive | Ways in which this strategy could be argued to be not inclusive |
| SEMH |  |  |  |
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